IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

MARLO MARQUEZ,

Plaintiff.

VS.

Civ. No. 01-445 WWD/LFG

THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE, OFFICER ANDREW LEHOCKEY (both in his official capacity as a police officer and individually),

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court upon Defendant Lehockey's "Motion in Limine No.

1: The Exclusion of Evidence at Trial Regarding the Officer's Use of Force in Prior and

Subsequent Unrelated Incidents, Internal Affairs Complaints, the Filing of Civil Rights Lawsuits,
and the Settlement of Civil Lawsuits" (Docket No. 120). The motion seeks to have the Court

exclude the categories of information listed above with respect to any officer involved in the case
as a party, a witness or otherwise. The motion will be granted with respect to all such officers.

Plaintiff fails to make a persuasive argument that would take the proposed "other acts" evidence
out from under the strictures of Federal Rule of Evidence 404(b), and the evidence could easily
be viewed in such a way as to be impermissibly prejudicial, confusing and misleading under
Federal Rule of Evidence 403. Plaintiff's argument that the Federal Rules of Evidence do not
apply to Bart, the police service dog, is a diversion but it is not persuasive.

WHEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED that Defendant Lehockey's "Motion in Limine No. 1: The Exclusion of Evidence at Trial Regarding the Officer's Use of Force in Prior and Subsequent Unrelated Incidents, Internal Affairs Complaints, the Filing of Civil Rights Lawsuits, and the Settlement of Civil Lawsuits" (Docket No. 120) be, and it is hereby, **GRANTED.**

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE